

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,266	03/31/2004	Hung-Ming Chien	58268.00356	5386
	7590 02/26/2008	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			LE, NHAN T	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11507.5 001.	,		2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

9	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/813,266	CHIEN, HUNG-MING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhan T. Le	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may Individual apply and will expire SIX (6) M Individual apply a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2007</u> .						
,						
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C	.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in abe ection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

10/813,266 Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishii (US 20040090215).

As to claim 1, Ishii teaches a charge pump circuit to supply current to a controlled oscillating circuit the charge pump circuit comprising: a first switch (see fig. 1, number 2, paragraphs 0067-0069) comprising a first state, said first switch coupled to a gate of an output diode (see fig. 1, number 3, paragraphs 0067-0069), a second switch (see fig. 1, number 5, paragraphs 0067-0069) comprising a second state opposite from said first state, the second switch coupled to a source of the output diode, wherein the second switch provides a charge up current to the output diode when the second state comprises an ON state (see paragraphs 0067-0069).

As to claim 4, Ishii teaches wherein the first switch is coupled to a capacitance (see fig. 1, number 7, paragraphs 0067-0069).

As to claim 5, Ishii teaches wherein the capacitance holds a bias voltage when the second switch comprises the ON state (see paragraphs 0067-0069).

Application/Control Number:

10/813,266 Art Unit: 2618

As to claim 6, Ishii teaches wherein the first switch disconnects the gate of the output diode when said first state comprises an OFF state (see paragraphs 0067-0069).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii (US 20040090215) in view of Kinbara (US 6,259,714).

As to claims 2, 3, Ishii teaches wherein the circuit comprises the first and the second switches, diode. Ishii fails to teach wherein the switches comprises a diode having a first and a second semiconductor material. Kinbara teaches wherein the diode are in the forms of semiconductor (see col. 13, lines 8-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Kinbara into the system of Ishii in order to reduce the size of the device.

As to claims 7, 8, Ishii fails to teach wherein the switches comprises an n-channel and p-channel metal oxide semiconductor. Kinbara teaches wherein the switches are in the form of the semiconductor (see col. 13, lines 8-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Kinbara into the system of Ishii in order to reduce the size of the device.

Application/Control Number:

10/813,266 Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hosotani et al (US 20030142521) teaches switching power device.

Hosotani et al (US 20040227496) teaches switching power supply apparatus.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7892. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-05:00 (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/813,266 Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nhan Le

Nhow T. Le